

CONSPIRACY NEWSLETTER

P. O. Box 11408
Portland, OR
97211

"The News Behind The News."

#3

Copyright (c) 1981 by Conspiracy Newsletter

JULY 1, 1981

NEW WAR STRATEGY

Since the Nixon administration, the defense strategy of this country has been to fund and equip the armed forces to fight one-and-a-half wars in the world.

In a WALL STREET JOURNAL story (6-15-81) of an interview with Caspar Weinberger, the new Sec. of Defense has revealed a change in that posture: the strategy now is to fund and equip the armed forces to fight two major wars simultaneously.

Sec. Weinberger's previous remarks on defense strategy---a speech last month in Chicago---were limited to a call for forces capable of fighting in more than one place simultaneously.

The reason given for this expansion is the greater Soviet military strength developed in the past eight years.

To carry out this new strategy, Weinberger said, the U.S. must revise and expand its military forces. "We need a new type of capability ... both sealift and airlift, and especially trained troops" that can fight major wars on brief notice far from U.S. shores.

He said the Navy must be greatly expanded---from the current 456 to 600-700 ships, or more. He hinted at an even greater increase, saying the strategy "involves a lot bigger, stronger Navy than we had need for 20 years ago."

In 1960 the Navy had 812 ships.

Weinberger said this buildup may take until 1987.

For fiscal 1981-82 (October to October) the government is scheduled to spend 32.6 billion dollars more on defense than the previous year, with ever-increasing additions scheduled each following year.

These thirty-forty-fifty billion dollar increases in defense spending are being funded by cuts in social and welfare programs---in theory.

So far the Democrats show strong signs of being able to stall and renege on making actual funding cuts, and are busily amending

the Reagan tax cut proposals to give more money (cuts) to the lower and middle classes. And they are shaving the cuts there, too.

THE CONSEQUENCES OF THESE POLICIES....

And the realities are: with the defense budget probably increasing even more than \$32.6 billion, and social/welfare cuts less than 32.6 billion, the current \$60 billion deficit will be repeated in 1981-82, and probably increase because:

The tax cut will not promote saving, which will not in turn fund the expansions needed to build the planes, ships, tanks, etc. the armed forces will need.

The middle and lower classes will spend their tax cuts.

The money needed to expand industry will be borrowed. This will cause a resurgence in inflation and continued ruinously high interest rates.

Cause and effect: housing and apartment building will continue to be in a depression. The auto industry will continue to be in a depression. There will be a financial crisis in the Savings & Loan industry. [See "The Economy Withers" elsewhere in this issue.]

FOREIGN BUGS

SPOTLIGHT (6-22-81) reports that information reaching FOREIGN REPORT magazine from senior sources in Washington tells of Israeli and South Korean intelligence agents in the United States who have planted electronic "bugs" in the homes and offices of friendly country diplomats here.

Conspiracy Newsletter is published monthly. Single copy: \$1.00
Subscription: \$10.00 for 12 issues.
P.O. Box 11408, Portland, OR 97211.

It is illegal for the FBI and CIA to plant these monitoring devices in the United States.

The kicker is this: after the Israeli and South Korean agents plant the electronic eavesdropping devices, the FBI uses them!---and keeps the Israelis and South Koreans informed, with transcripts, of monitored conversations of interest to their governments.

The code name for this operation is "Epsilon". U.S. covert spying on our allies has existed since the end of World War II. It was expanded by Richard Nixon when he felt America's allies were not supporting the war in Vietnam. Before the Watergate scandal the bugs were planted by the FBI.

DIAMONDS ARE FOR DUMPING

DeBeers, a diamond mining/marketing cartel based in South Africa, has been for decades setting diamond prices by controlling the supply.

But recently Zaire (formerly the Belgium Congo), decided not to market its stones through the DeBeers Central Selling Organization. Zaire is the largest producer of industrial diamonds in the world.

With Zaire selling its diamonds on its own---probably in competition with DeBeers---the prices of all diamonds will likely fall.

DeBeers is sitting on a record stockpile of diamonds now, due to slow demand.

The reason for Zaire's action is not hard to figure: Zaire owes billions of dollars in foreign debt to western banks and international lending agencies. Selling diamonds at cutrate prices may be the only way that country can earn foreign exchange credits. Zaire's copper mining industry is in a slump---due to failing worldwide demand. The price of copper has declined from 91.6¢ per pound last year, to 84.1¢ now (June 13).

POLAND APPEARS DOOMED

(6-20-81) With the Pope back in the hospital with a strange, recurring fever, and with Russia baldly warning the Polish people and communist government that they must reverse the year-long trends toward democracy and freedom or suffer the consequences, it looks like a forced change of government in Poland is inevitable.

Russia must stop the weakening of the centralized Communist Party government in Poland. The upcoming party elections, with "liberal" communists running against "hardliners" [a real policy distinction, real debate, real control at stake!], in early July, is a crisis time. Indications are that the worker-supported "liberal" communists will win and unseat the Russian-oriented "hardliners" in the governing Party.

It is thought Russia cannot let that election happen.

With the Pope once again totally immobilized in the hospital, the threat of a Russian invasion of Poland, or a Russian-inspired takeover in Poland by "hardliners" is stronger than ever.

There is presently a debate among American and European banks who have lent Poland approx. \$16 billion dollars as to how and when to "reschedule" and "renegotiate" those debts.

American banks are on the hook for at least \$1.8 billion. The largest loans are \$250 million from Bank of America, \$200 million from Citibank, and \$200 million from Chase.

Poland's central bank, Bank Handlowy, only barely managed to meet the payment [June 23] of a minor \$30 million five-year loan by a syndicate of American, UK, Arabian, French, German, Hungarian, Luxembourg, and Swiss banks. The Poles waited till the last day of a 14-day grace period after the repayment was due.

An invasion of Poland by Russia and its Warsaw Pact allies, or a coup by hardliners in the Polish Communist Party, would cause so much disruption of the Polish economy that the likelihood of Poland's foreign private bank debt ever being paid off---or even continuing interest paid---would be a realistic zero.

Even as things stand those loans are virtually uncollectable.

But no one wants to face the consequences of the official loss of those billions. Somehow, those loans will be kept "alive."

But actually the "death" of those 16 billions of dollars is already having its consequences in subtle effects on the international money markets and international trade.

ISRAEL, IRAQ, SYRIA AND THE WHOLE BLOODY MESS, PART II

Since we last wrote about the Mideast, Israel bombed to rubble the Iraqi nuclear reactor which had been on the verge of being fueled and which, most intelligence experts agree, would have been used to produce atomic bombs.

The resulting hypocritical furor of "indignation" from other Arab countries, and from other countries paying lip-service to international law, hides the vast sighs of relief which came behind closed doors.

The truth is, all of Iraq's Arab neighbors are secretly happy with the Israeli raid.

Iraq, with a few atomic bombs, with its enhanced geo-political position with part of Iran, would have been a dangerous dictatorship to have around; Hussein had plans for being the dominant power in the Mideast. Those planned atomic bombs were not meant just to limit and intimidate Israel---but to threaten Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Iran...every country within reach of its bombers and/or missiles.

The future Iraqi atomic weapons would not automatically have been used against Israel to wipe out that country; the bombs would have been used to threaten, to blackmail, to inhibit. They would have eventually neutralized Israel's atomic bombs.

Israel now, with its nuclear bombs and ability to deliver those bombs, is the dominant force in the Mideast.

Israel reached this position because the power elite of the United States wished it. There is a quid-pro-quo involved in the U.S. policy of favoring Israel over Arabs: they act (in many ways) as our agents/arm in that region, and we guarantee their continued existence, their military equipment, even their economy.

Hussein of Iraq swears Iraq will rebuild its atomic capabilities and will take proper precautions against Israeli raids and sabotage in the future. He accurately asserts that the Arabs need nuclear weapons to counter Israeli nuclear weapons.

But Israel has openly stated its intention of destroying any Arab nuclear reactor capable of producing atomic bombs. This is a naked, arrogant, very honest policy. For as long as Israel's atomic bomb monopoly exists, Israel is top dog in that region. It simply intends to keep its position. This is power

politics with the usual pretense stripped away.

The crisis over the Syrian anti-aircraft missiles moved into Lebanon to counter Israeli fighter-bomber support of the Christian forces who are fighting moslem/plo forces....is largely a fraud, in our view.

There is evidence that the Christian forces precipitated the Syrian counter-attack on the key town in question in eastern Lebanon. Every event in that blow-up has flowed from that move. We think the Christian attack was dictated by Israel and/or the United States.

The diplomatic rat-race since those events is simply a tactic to waste time until Prime Minister Begin is re-elected in Israel at the end of June.

Saudi Arabia's role in these crises is interesting: she is giving money to Syria, Pakistan, Egypt, Lebanon, Jordan...and even Iraq....in order to maintain as much as possible the status quo. The Saudis know they are weak both politically and militarily in their own country. The ruling family is sitting on a social/cultural/religious powder keg. Twice fanatic fundamentalist moslem groups have attempted to overthrow the royal family and turn Saudi Arabia into an Iran-like religious dictatorship.

The latest attempt was suppressed with the help of the CIA which warned the Riyadh government of an imminent mass assassination plot and prospective coup.

The Pakistan-Libya link is another flash point. The U.S. promise of \$3 billion in "aid" is a bribe to keep the Pakistani government from honoring its deal with Libya to provide Libya with atomic weapons when Pakistan's nuclear program is far enough along to make atomic bombs.

Libya's dictator, Qadafi, has been financing the Pakistani nuclear program.

We suspect there is more to our bribe than money: we think the U.S. government has threatened Pakistan as well. Plus, the Saudis have upped their "aid" to Pakistan.

All these threats and bribes and pressures are what diplomacy and "agreements" are all about. There is always naked political, economic and military power being used in every international conference, in every foreign policy of major nations.

There are persistent rumors that unless

Quadafi stops his funding of terrorism in the world, and stops his invasions of African nations to the south of Libya, there are contingency plans for an Egyptian invasion of Libya and takeover of that country. Israel would help, as would at least one US naval task force in the Mediterranean [under cover of helping evacuate American oil field workers and families.

These plans would be activated if Libya acquired atomic weapons...or was close to acquiring them.

HOW DO YOU CENSOR CABLE?

The folk who decry sex on TV---free broadcast TV, that is---are going to have a fit when Penthouse International Ltd. and TeleMine co. Inc. get their Penthouse Entertainment Television Network on the air.

The "PET" network is promising a line-up this fall of talk shows which discuss sex problems, interview shows in which language is not bleeped of four-letter words, filmed versions of the PENTHOUSE magazine "Pet of the Month" layout in full detail and nekkidness, as well as such sexually graphic movies as CALIGULA.

However, Bob Guccione, owner of PENTHOUSE magazine said the system would not "offer typical X-rated genre films offered on Broadway and 42nd Street," meaning what most people would consider "full penetration" films---hardcore porn.

Guccione said the network would also include much non-sex material, as well as discussions of alcoholism and drugs.

Game and variety shows were mentioned.

Cable nets such as described above are obviously immune to pressures from advertising sponsors, and won't listen to Moral Majority diatribes. The only recourse would be federal legislation empowering the federal government to set "guidelines" for subscription TV offerings.

Would legislators pass such laws?

Not likely, in the view of CONSPIRACY NEWSLETTER. We as a nation are entering a period akin to Rome during the Ceasars, and TV and the movies are the equivalents of the Colosseum. Welfare is the "bread" and STAR WARS, FRIDAY THE THIRTEENTH, etc. are the "circuses".

This era is not part of a conspiracy,

however; it is a long-wave trend in the natural cycle of empire civilizations such as Rome...and the United States.

As an aside, if you're young, a career in electronics maintenance and repair would appear to be the way to assure permanent employment.

PLAYING THE CHINA CARD

Geopolitics is paramount in the decision of America's power elite to arm China with American weapons.

Ever since Nixon obeyed orders and made the first American move to convert China from enemy to ally, the process has accelerated.

Now Secretary of State Alexander Haig has struck secret deals with the new Chinese leadership.

One part of these agreements is a pledge by the Chinese not to invade Taiwan in exchange for unspecified American weapons.

Other parts relate to loans from international lending agencies and acceptance of "help" in developing China's enormous natural resources.

The power elite of America know far more about the natural resources of China than do the Chinese.

[For at least ten years the CIA has been using specialized satellites to survey the entire planet for the geological "signposts" showing underground deposits of oil and minerals. Since its inception the CIA has been known as "The Rockefeller Agency".]

China is willing to go along with becoming the world's largest, richest colony of the Western power elite for some time; the deals are in their interest.

Russia, for a generation or more, has had to keep half its army and air force on station along the Russo-China border. With these recent moves to a full-fledged alliance between the U.S. and China, Russia will be forced to beef up its border forces. This will be a further drain on Russia's economy, diminishing their ability to pursue "adventures" in other parts of the world.

Since the death of Mao, the counter-revolution in China has proceeded. Now Dung Chow Peng has acquired about 95%

control of the provinces and his power elite and internal alliances have permitted a bold turn to the West, modernization, and allowing increasing degrees of free-enterprise. Americans are viewed as Important Persons in China, and the power and lure of American culture is increasing.

Some American religious groups are attempting to smuggle in Bibles and re-establish a strong Protestant movement.

NEW TECHNOLOGY NOTES---ITEMS YOU WON'T HEAR ABOUT FROM THE CONTROLLED PRESS

DENSE FIELD DEVICES: The U.S. government has contracted for a device which would (supposedly) severely restrict violent nuclear reactions. This would possibly make nuclear weapons unuseable. Whichever nation possessed such a device might be invulnerable to atomic attack.

PSYCHOTRONIC WEAPONRY: The U.S. Air Force has committed \$3 million for research into the feasibility of putting a time-warp over the North Pole---to throw Russian nuclear missiles into the remote past.

Another "Psychotronic" weapon possibility under examination is a so-called 'hyperspatial howitzer'---a psi-talented person would teleport a nuclear bomb into the Kremlin and instantly detonate it.

Several other "Psychotronic" weapons are being 'looked into'. CN will keep you informed.

SPACEPLANE: Boeing has on its drawing boards an airplane which would take off from a runway, climb to Low Earth Orbit, use strap-on boosters to reach geosynchronous orbit or beyond, and return to Earth under power and land like an airplane. NASA has refused to fund further development. No reason has been given for the refusal.

THE PRIVATE VS. THE PUBLIC MORALITY

The newspapers have been paying a lot of attention lately to something called the Coalition For Better Television, a conservative/religious grouping of surface, public organizations which are

opposed to what they feel are excessive levels of sex and violence and profanity on public television.

Commercial TV network executives have made speeches against "censorship" and have engaged in debates with Coalition leaders.

Proctor & Gambel, which spends hundreds of millions of dollars on TV advertising of its products, announced it was going to re-evaluate its sponsorship/advertising on some 50 TV network shows which the Coalition finds offensive.

[What is not generally known is that P&G switch their advertising at least 50 times---from show to show---each season! Their "going public" now isn't at all significant; it's known as covering their asses the cheapest way possible. They want to avoid being blacklisted or boycotted by the Coalition when the Coalition makes public its boycott targets June 29.]

Now (June 20) AP reports that the results of two private polls by the Roper Organization [for NBC] and the National Survey Research Group [for ABC] show that only 3% of that poll sample [1400 families contacted by phone] believe that an organized attempt should be made to "attempt to influence programs to conform to their standards of values."

In essence, religious fundamentalists watch the same shows as the general population. And 64% of all those surveyed said, "primary responsibility to determine what is acceptable belongs to the individual viewer."

What people decide to watch in the privacy of their homes is often different from what they say publicly "should" by on TV.

In cable TV market after market, the subscribers are demanding more R-rated and X-rated films, free of censorship---and commercials. Cable TV hookups are now used by 25% of the television households in America. And the percentage is increasing every month. Commercial network TV is in a dog-eat-dog cutthroat competition for viewers--and the networks are losing. The networks are not going to cut back further on the sex-violence-profanity content of their shows to please a puffed-up dragon of traditional public morality. Organizations like the Moral Majority and this Coalition for Better Television are toothless and essentially empty.

Any boycott of products and advertisers

by the Coalition will be of minimal effect.

Even with the public lip-service of some politicians and play-it-safe corporations. The boycott will fail, will fade away.

And a new public furor will be raised next year by this or that group seeking publicity (and contributions). It's a con game, a scam, played by everyone involved for selfish benefit: the wire services and the reporters get a good story to fill pages and minutes, the Citizens For Decent Literature/TV/Movies/Streets/Government, etc.

get their media "exposure" and millions of dollars in contributions from the largely female, rural, poor who are their main supporters, and the Liberals get their whipping boy, and everyone has a fine time.

So it goes.

TRICKLE-DOWN OPINION: SIGNALS FROM ON HIGH

An 18-month study by a committee of the Atlantic Council has called for tying more closely domestic energy policies to foreign and military policies. The group recommended a greater U.S. presence in the Mideast to protect oil supplies, and recommended closer cooperation with other countries, particularly developing nations, on energy matters.

The committee's chairman, John E. Gray, head of a Washington consulting firm on energy matters, warned that the current oil glut should not be taken as a sign that action isn't needed now.

He said, "Be not misled by the events of the day that suggest these [problems] need not be dealt with."

Henry Fowler, the committee's co-chairman and a former Treasury secretary, stressed that assisting developing countries in solving their energy problems should be a key goal for the United States if it is to maintain its world influence.

The above is almost word for word the story that appeared in THE OREGONIAN recently. The heading is ours.

Did you ever hear of the Atlantic Council before? Why should this story appear? Why is it given space?

Because it is, in our opinion, a signal to groups allied and alligned with the power elite, locally, of the policy-line to follow.

You must learn to read the euphemisms and understand the cold reality implied in these bromide-like statements.

A 'greater presence in the Mideast' can mean only an army based there.

'Closer cooperation with other countries, particularly developing nations, on energy matters' means more exploitation of Asian, African, Central and South American natural resources--oil, mostly.

'Assisting developing countries in solving their energy problems should be a key goal for the United States if it is to maintain its world influence.' This is a raw signal that we will have to "assist" whether the developing country wishes our presence or not. If we need their resources to maintain our power in the world, we will take them, nicely if possible, by force if necessary.

COPPER, COPPER, WHO NEEDS COPPER?

In CN#2 we detailed the tremendous move by the oil companies and the oil banks to acquire vast, near-monopoly holdings of coal in the United States.

Add copper to the list. As of early

June:	Atlantic Richfield owns	11.0%
	British Petroleum owns	0.4%
	Cities Service owns	5. %
	Louisiana L&E owns	2.0%
	Pennzoil owns	7.0%
	Standard Oil (Cal) owns	1.0%
	Standard Oil (Ind.) owns	4.0%
	Standard Oil (Ohio) owns	20.0%
	Union Oil of Cal. owns	.3%
		<u>50.7%</u>

Carol E. Curtis, writing in FORBES, reports that "There are ten major copper producers that hold nearly all major reserves, and oil companies now own or have major interests in six of them. Asarco, Newmont Mining and Phelps Dodge---the remaining independents---are all tempting takeover targets."

As noted elsewhere, copper is in a glut situation and its price is declining. So why would the oil companies (and the banks who in turn control the oil companies) decide as a group to buy up companies holding half the United States in-the-ground copper?

If they knew in advance that there would be another oil cutoff from the Persian

Gulf area... If they knew in advance of planning for a major foreign war involvement by the United States....

These are our suspicions. We can only wait, monitor these strategic moves, and hope our fears are wrong.

OIL, OIL, WHO NEEDS OIL?

Reported in the June 15 issue of BUSINESS WEEK: "Exxon, Texaco, California Standard, and Mobil, the four Aramco partners, already were awash in crude early this year when they were receiving only their base supply of 6.5 million bbl. of the approximately 10 million bbl. of oil that Saudi Arabia produces daily."

Since then the oil companies have willingly accepted even more oil from the Saudis, to the point of renting empty tankers by the score for oil storage.

There are reports now of the oil companies even using the tanks of out-of-business gas stations for storage of excess gasoline.

We are forced to ask ourselves why the oil corporations are willing to acquire such huge inventories of crude oil and refined product? Tankers don't rent cheap! It costs a lot of money to store gasoline, kerosene, jet fuel, heating oil, etc.

Again, we wonder if the oil giants and their banks aren't sure of selling all this petroleum at higher prices later---after another "oil shock" sends prices soaring again.

The current oil "glut" at the moment promises to get worse: Iraq is soon to be able to pump a lot more oil into the pipelines leading to the Mediterranean. The economic slowdown in Europe and the U.S. and the rest of the world shows signs of further decline. There isn't any sign of greater demand for at least a year. So why the accumulation of vast inventories of oil? Why are the oil companies willing to tie up billions and billions of dollars, even to the point of renting dozens of empty oil tankers for storage of this "glut"?

THE ECONOMY WITHERS

It increasingly is obvious that it doesn't matter who is elected president, or which party controls Congress. The

political pressures control what is passed and its shape.

The vaunted budget cuts of recent months are a fraud, of course: the total budget is not being cut. The deficit for 1980-81 is now \$60 billion and growing, so that by the end of the fiscal year Sept. 29, the official budget deficit may reach \$70 billion.

The fact is that there are tax increases of at least \$50 billion structured into the current tax rates (bracket creep and Social Security) for 1981, and all Congress and Reagan are talking about now are partial reductions in that increase.

This fact-of-life means less and less money for most workers to spend. Government spending will increase and those who are in position to benefit from the speed-up in defense spending will be happy as clams.

But that spending will be offset by cuts in welfare monies given to the poor.

So here's the overall picture:

A few industries and their satellite contractors will be booming. But overall demand will contract, and most of the economy will continue declining. Unemployment will inch up further and further.

Interest rates will continue at extraordinarily high levels, causing increasing bankruptcies and disruptions in the financial markets.

Consumer prices will be stable because of price-cutting and excess inventories which must be cleared---for maybe a year.

Government deficits will expand and will have to be financed by the Federal Reserve, which means newly "created" billions of new dollars.

In 1982 inflation will surge again as the Fed tries to save the Savings & Loan sector [150-200 S&Ls are now listed as "problem" institutions, meaning perilously close to being insolvent] by pumping extra billions into their reserves. These will be "created" billions. Many small banks will require similar salvage.

At this point the dollar devaluation will become severe, the exchange value of the dollar will sink to extremely low levels, and the government will be forced to extraordinary measures to buttress the value of the dollar.

These measures may include exchange controls, wage-price controls, etc.

The tax burden will become ever-

greater. The standard of living will decline at an ever increasing rate for those in the "wrong" jobs and industries.

ITEMS

Columnist Ashby Bladen, writing in FORBES, May 25, says a financial panic is coming. He lists three signs that will announce its arrival:

1. New highs in interest rates, as overextended borrowers try to bid liquidity away from each other.

2. New peaks in personal and corporate bankruptcies, including a couple of spectacular examples of the latter.

3. Not a slippage, but a real crash, in house prices.

He goes on to say: "We are getting close." ... "As a financial manager, I am in the peculiar position of plumping for a financial crisis because I do not see any other way of avoiding hyperinflation. Accelerating inflation was the inevitable consequences of the political policies of the last 20 years. That inflation can be broken only by a financial crisis. Otherwise it is virtually certain to end in hyperinflation and the destruction both of our present financial system and of the accumulated savings that are held in the form of financial claims."

PARIS--The total external debt of all the developing countries increased to \$456 billion last year from \$393 billion in 1979, and \$86.6 billion in 1971, according to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

Over the decade, the share of debt owed Western industrialized nations and Japan has remained at between 75% and 80%.

However, within this share, debt owed to the private sector has risen to 50% from 25%, the OECD said.

In 1980, external debt owed by countries outside the OPEC rose to \$373 billion, up from \$73 billion in 1971.

The two largest debtors last year were Brazil and Mexico, the OECD said. Together they accounted for 25% of Third World debt.

Note: with the increase in value of the dollar due to high interest rates, and the break in oil and commodity prices, the massive Third World debt becomes even

more difficult to handle, as their income from exports falls and dollars become more valuable---and they must pay in dollars.

Lybia and Syria are reported to be joining their intelligence organizations and have moved their command post from Bonn to London in recent months. According to Lybian opposition sources, Libyan assassination squads have already been stationed in the British capital and are soon to start a new campaign to liquidate those opposed to Colonel Qaddafi.

NOTE: A loose alliance is forming between Libya, Syria, Iran and their "Mother"---Russia.

Opposed are Egypt, Iraq, Saudi Arabia, Israel, and the U.S.

While the U.S. is focusing on Syrian SAM-2, SAM-3, and SAM-6s being stationed in Lebanon, Syria has transferred SAMs of the same classifications to Iran to use in its air defense against Iraq.

According to British intelligence sources, President Assad of Syria has sent his Soviet-supplied aircraft to join with the Iranian aircraft in combat mission with Iraq. The Syrians "flew cover" for the Iranian bombers operating over southern areas of Iraq twice in April. This is the first direct involvement of any other powers in the off-again, on-again Iran-Iraq war.

THIS USED TO BE A NICE
PLACE BEFORE
THE PARANOIDS
BEGAN
HANGING
AROUND.

THEM AND
THEIR STUPID
FANZINES.



BACK ISSUES: #1 and #2 of CONSPIRACY NEWSLETTER are still available.